Publications that used data from the Garden of Eden study: (updated by Jianwei Zhang on June 1, 2022)

1. Besyk, N.R. 2015. Predicting Phosphorus Retention in Two Haplohumult Forest Soils of Northern California. M.S. Thesis, Humboldt State Univ., 77 p. (pdf)
2. Busse, M.D.; Ratcliff, A.W.; Shestak, C.J.; Powers, R.F. 2000. Non-target effects of glyphosate on soil microbes. In: Proceedings, California Weed Sci. Soc. 52: 146-150. (pdf)
3. Busse, M.; Rappaport, N.G.; Powers, R.F. 2000. Tolerance of soil organisms to herbicide applications in ponderosa pine plantations: Initial findings. In: Proceedings of the 21st Annual Forest Vegetation Management Conference, January 18-20, Redding, California, p. 33-38. (pdf)
4. Busse, M.D.; Ratcliff, A.W.; Shestak, C.J.; Powers, R.F. 2001. Glyphosate toxicity and the effects of long-term vegetation control on soil microbial communities. ***Soil Biology and Biochemistry*** 33: 1777-1789. (pdf)
5. Busse, M.D.; Rappaport, N.G.; Powers, R.F. 2001. Hexazinone effects on soil biota and processes: initial findings. p. 66-72. In: Cooper, S.L. (comp.) *Proceedings,* 22nd Ann. Forest Vegetation Management Conf. Univ. California Cooperative Exten., Redding, CA. (pdf)
6. Busse, M.D.; Fiddler, G.O.; Ratcliff, A.W. 2004. Ectomycorrhizal formation in herbicide-treated soils of differing clay and organic matter content. ***Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*** 152: 23-34. (pdf)
7. Han, Y.; Zhang, J.W.; Mattson, K.; Zhang, W.; Weber, T. 2016. Sample sizes to control error estimates in determining soil bulk density in California forest soil. ***Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*** 80:757-764; doi:10.2136/sssaj2015.12.0422. (pdf)
8. Hayes, J.P.; Schoenholtz, S.H.; Hartley, M.J.; Murphy, G.; Powers, R.F.; Berg, D.; Radosevich, S.R. 2005. Environmental consequences of intensively managed forest plantations in the Pacific Northwest. ***Journal of Forestry*** 103 (2): 83-87. (pdf)
9. Liles, G.C.; Silva, L.C.R.; Zhang, J.W.; Horwath, W.R. 2019. Two decades of experimental manipulation reveal potential for enhanced biomass accumulation in ponderosa pine plantations across climate gradients. ***Journal of Geophysical Research – Biogeosciences*** 124. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JG005183 (pdf)
10. Looney, C.E.; Zhang, J.W. 2022. Site quality and intensive early stand management practices affect growth dominance, structural complexity, and tree growth in ponderosa pine plantations. ***Forest Ecology and Management*** 519, 120318 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120318; (pdf).
11. Mahoney, R.L.; Powers, R.F. 2005. Silvicultural decisions X: Is there a future for growing and processing timber in the Inland Northwest? Woodland Notes 15 (2): 2, 4 University of Idaho, Moscow. pp. 2, 4.
12. McFarlane, K.J. 2008. Belowground carbon storage and soil organic matter quality following herbicide and fertilizer applications in ponderosa pine plantations along a site-quality gradient in Northern California. Ph.D. Dissertation, Oregon State Univ., 179 p.

13. McFarlane, K.J.; Schoenholtz, S.H.; Powers, R.F. 2009. Plantation management intensity affects belowground carbon and nitrogen storage in Northern California. ***Soil Science Society of America Journal*** 73: 1020-1032. (pdf)

14. McFarlane, K.J.; Schoenholtz, S.H.; Powers, R.F; Perakis, S.S. 2010. Soil organic matter stability in intensively managed ponderosa pine stands in California. ***Soil Science Society of America Journal*** 74: 979-992. (pdf)

15. Norlander, D.W.D. 2008. Effect of Site and Silvicultural Treatment on Insect Pests and Diseases of Young Ponderosa Pine. M.S. Thesis, Oregon State Univ., 71 p. (pdf)

16. Powers, R.F.; Ferrell, G.T; Koerber, T.W. 1992. The Garden of Eden experiment: four-year growth of ponderosa pine plantations. p. 46-63. In: Proceedings, Thirteenth Annual Forest Vegetation Management Conference. Eureka, CA. January 1992. Forest Vegetation Management Conf., Redding, CA. (pdf)

17. Powers, R.F.; Ferrell, G.T. 1995. Plantation development as constrained by moisture, nutrition, and insects: the "Garden of Eden" study. p. 92-94. *In*: Gaskin, R.E.; Zabkiewicz, J.A. (comp.) Proceedings, Second International Conference on Forest Vegetation Management; 20-24 March, 1995: Rotorua, New Zealand. *FRI Bull.* 192. Forest Res. Inst., Rotorua, NZ.

18. Powers, R.F; Ferrell, G.T. 1996. Moisture, nutrient, and insect constraints on plantation growth: the "Garden of Eden" experiment. **NZ J. For. Sci.** 26: 126-144. (pdf)

19. Powers, R.F.; Ferrell, G.T. 1996. The "Garden of Eden" study revisited. Findings at eight years. p. 8-31. *In*: Landram, M. (comp.) *Proceedings, Seventeenth Annual Forest Vegetation Management Conference.* Redding, CA. January 1996. Forest Vegetation Management Conf., Redding, CA. (pdf)

20. Powers, R.F.; Spear, T. 1997. Weed competition and plantation management: The "Garden of Eden" experiment. p. 118-130. *In: Weed Science. In Search of Excellence.* Proc. 49th Ann. Conf. California Weed Sci. Soc., Fremont, CA.

21. Powers, R.F. 1999. On the sustainability productivity of planted forests. ***New Forests*** 17: 253-306. (pdf)

22. Powers, R.F. 1999. The "Garden of Eden" experiment: assessing the potential productivity of planted ponderosa pine. p. 40-45. In: Ramsay, C. (comp.) Vegetation Management in the Era of Threatened and Endangered Species. Proc. 3rd Ann. PNW-IVMA Forestry and Rights-of-Way Conference. Pacific Northwest Integrated Vegetation Management Assoc., Portland, OR.

23. Powers, R.F.; Reynolds, P.E. 1999. Ten-year responses of ponderosa pine plantations to repeated vegetation and nutrient control along an environmental gradient. ***Can. J. For. Res.*** 29: 1027-1038. (pdf)

24. Powers, R.F. 2000. The role of planted forests in a "green certified" century. p. 1-17. In: S.L. Cooper (comp.) Reforestation for the New Millennium: Back to Our Roots. Proc. 21st Forest Vegetation Management Conference. Univ. California, Cooperative Extension, Redding, CA. (pdf)

25. Powers, R.F. 2000. Balderston plantation revisited: does vegetation control or fertilization really matter? In: Fiddler, G.O. (comp.) Progress Report 2000. Sierra Cascade Intensive Forest Management Research Cooperative Newsletter Series Rep. No. 1. Univ. California, Davis. p. 9-15.
26. Powers, R.F.; Reynolds, P.E. 2000. Intensive management of ponderosa pine plantations: sustainable productivity for the 21st century. ***J. Sustainable Forestry*** 10 (3/4): 249-255. (pdf)
27. Powers, R.F. 2001. Chapter 5. Assessing potential sustainable wood yield. p. 105-128. In: Evans, J. The Forests Handbook. Volume 2. Applying forest science for sustainable management. Blackwell Science Ltd., Oxford, UK. (pdf)
28. Powers, R.F. 2001. Further analyses from Balderston Plantation. In: Fiddler, G.O. (comp.) Progress Report 2001. Sierra Cascade Intensive Forest Management Research Cooperative Series Rep. No. 2. Univ. California, Davis. p. 8-10. (pdf)
29. Powers, R.F. 2003. World trends in forests, forest use, wood supply. The irony of California forestry. The challenge to our profession. In: Cooper, S.L. (comp.) *Proceedings 24th Ann. Forest Vegetation Management Conf.* Univ. California Coop. Exten., Redding, CA. p. 1-16. (pdf)
30. Powers, R.F.; Young, D.H.; Fiddler, G.O.; Spear, T.H. 2005. Balderston plantation revisited: A tale of two sites 25 years after early treatments. p. 61-72. In: Cooper, S.L. (comp.) Where we've been, where we are, and where we're going. Proc. 25th Forest Vegetation Management Conference. University of California, Shasta County Cooperative Extension, Redding, CA. (pdf)
31. Powers, R.F.; Busse, M.D.; McFarlane, K.J.; Zhang, J.W.; Young, D.H. 2012. Long-term effects of silviculture on soil carbon storage. Does vegetation control make a difference? ***Forestry*** 86:47-58; doi:10.1093/forestry/cps067. (pdf)
32. Ratcliff, A.W.; Busse, M.D.; Shestak, C.J. 2006. Changes in microbial community structure following herbicide (glyphosate) additions to forest soils. Applied Soil Ecology 34:114-124. (pdf)
33. Reynolds, P.E.; Powers, R.F. 2000. Gas exchange for managed ponderosa pine stands positioned along a climatic gradient. ***J. Sustainable Forestry*** 10 (3/4): 257-265. (pdf)
34. Wei, L.; Marshall, J.D.; Zhang, J.W.; Zhou, H.; Powers, R.F. 2014. 3-PG simulations of young ponderosa pine plantations under varied management intensity: why do they grow so differently? ***Forest Ecology and Management*** 313:69-82; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016 /j.foreco.2013.10.035. (pdf)
35. Zhang, J.W.; Powers, R.F.; Skinner, C.N. 2010. To manage or not to manage: The role of silviculture in sequestering carbon in the specter of climate change. In: Jain, T.B.; Graham, R.T.; Sandquist, J. tech. eds. Integrated management of carbon sequestration and biomass utilization opportunities in a changing climate; pp 95-110. Proceedings of the 2009 National Silviculture Workshop, June 15-18, 2009; Boise, Idaho. RMRS-P-61. (pdf)
36. Zhang, J.W.; Powers, R.F.; Oliver, W.W.; Young, D.H. 2013. Response of ponderosa pine plantations to competing vegetation control in Northern California, USA: A meta-analysis. ***Forestry*** 86:3-11; Https://10.1093/forestry/cps054. (pdf)

37. Zhang, J.W.; Oliver, W.W.; Powers, R.F. 2013. Reevaluating the self-thinning boundary line for ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*) forests. ***Can. J. For. Res***. 43:963-971; Https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-2013-0133. (pdf)
38. Zhang, J.W.; Young, D.H.; Oliver, W.W.; Fiddler G. 2016. Effect of overstorey trees on understorey vegetation in California (USA) ponderosa pine plantations. ***Forestry*** 89:91-99; Https://:10.1093/forestry/cpv036. (pdf)
39. Zhang, J.W.; Fiddler, G.O.; Young, D.H.; Shestak, C.; Carlson, R. 2021. Allometry of tree biomass and carbon partitioning in ponderosa pine plantations grown under diverse conditions. ***Forest Ecology and Management***. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2021.119526. (pdf)
40. Zhang, J.W.; Finley, K.A.; Young, D.H.; Fiddler, G.O.; Looney, C.E. 2022. Growth response of ponderosa pine to intensive cultural treatments varies with site quality and plantation age. ***Forest Science*** 68(2): 214-227. https://doi.org/10.1093/forsci/fxab065. (pdf)

Manuscripts under preparation:

41. Zhang et al. Water use and water-use efficiency of ponderosa pine plantations vary among silvicultural treatments in California. - Target to ***Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*** or ***Tree Physiology***.
42. Zhang et al. Carryover effect of herbicide and fertilization in the 1st rotation on growth of ponderosa pine in the 2nd rotation – Target to ***Forest Ecology and Management.***